IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA BRYSON CITY DIVISION 2:10CV10-03-MU

MARVIN DALE HARRIS,)
Petitioner,)
v.	ORDER
DAVID MITCHELL, Administrator, Mountain View Correctional Institution,)))
Respondent.)))

THIS MATTER is before this Court upon a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 (Doc. No. 1) which was filed on April 6, 2010 by the Petitioner <u>pro se</u>. The Respondent filed an Answer to the Petition and a Motion for Summary Judgment with a supporting brief on April 14, 2010. It appears that the Respondent may be entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

Te Petitioner is advised that under the provisions of Rule 56(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, he is required to submit documents, affidavits, or unsworn declarations made under penalty of perjury, in opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment and supporting documents filed by the Respondent. Rule 56(e) reads in pertinent part as follows:

When a motion for summary judgment is properly made and supported [by affidavits], an opposing party may not rely merely on allegations or denials in his own pleadings; rather, its response must – by affidavits or as otherwise provided by this rule – set out specific facts showing a genuine issue for trial. If the opposing party does not so respond, summary judgment should, if appropriate, be entered against that party.

This rule requires that if the Petitioner has any evidence to offer to counter the evidence

contained in the Motion for Summary Judgment and supporting documents filed by the

Respondent, he must present it to the Court in the form of documents, affidavits, or unsworn

declarations under penalty of perjury. An affidavit is a written statement made under oath; that

is, a statement prepared in writing by the Petitioner and sworn before a Notary Public. If the

Petitioner chooses, he may instead submit an unsworn declaration and state the following with

the date and his signature:

"I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and

correct."

PETITIONER HARRIS READ THIS:

The Petitioner is further hereby advised that he has thirty (30) days from the filing of this

Order in which to file documents, affidavits, or unsworn declarations in opposition to the state's

Motion for Summary Judgment. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THIS TIME PERIOD

MAY SUBJECT THIS ACTION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petitioner has thirty(30) days

from the filing of this Order in which to provide his own documents, affidavits, or declarations

countering the evidence offered by the state's Motion for Summary Judgment.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: April 14, 2010

Graham C. Mullen

United States District Judge

2